

Organization _____ Bldg./Room _____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450



If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



REPTO: MARTEL LVERHULE AS ADDRESSED

RECEIVED

MAR 02 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

FEB 26 2007 0004205065

RECEIVED
FEB 26 2007
U.S.P.T.O. MAIL CENTER

3628



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION
c/o **HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP**
P.O. BOX 203518
AUSTIN TX 78720

FEB 13 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Dutta et.al.
Application No. 09/732,482 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: December 7, 2000
Attorney Docket No. AUS920000856US1

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 20, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The two-month period for filing an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37 (accompanied by the fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)), runs from the date of this decision.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the Final Office action of December 20, 2004. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and submission (37 CFR 1.114), or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2). No extensions of time pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the date of abandonment of this application is March 21, 2005.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Notice of Appeal with a fee of \$500; (2) the petition fee of \$ 1500; and (3)

a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the Notice of Appeal filed November 20, 2006, is being accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy at 571- 272 - 4914, or in his absence to the undersigned at 571 - 272 - 7099.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3628 to process the Pre-Appeal Brief Request filed November 20, 2006 and for such other appropriate reply as may be submitted to continue prosecution of the application.



David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions